



© privat

John H. Langbein, Ph.D.

Sterling Professor of Law and Legal History

Yale Law School

Born in 1941 in Washington, DC
Studied Economics at Columbia University, Law at Harvard University, and Law and Legal History at the University of Cambridge

PROJECT

The Trend Toward Negotiated, Non-Trial Disposition of Criminal Cases in Advanced Legal Systems, with Particular Reference to StPO § 257(c) in Germany

In recent decades, the criminal justice systems of many advanced legal systems have shown a pronounced trend to resolve ever more cases by means of negotiation and settlement rather than by adjudication. The troubled practice of plea bargaining in the Anglo-American systems is the most characteristic example. The German legal system long resisted, but has changed course and now makes use of such practices. During my stay at the Wissenschaftskolleg, I want to study the spread of negotiated, non-trial criminal procedure to Germany. I plan to explore conventional printed sources and also to do some interviewing of criminal justice professionals and scholars.

Recommended Reading

Langbein, John H. *Prosecuting Crime in the Renaissance: England, Germany, France*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1974; reprint ed. 2005; Korean ed. 2012.
-. *The Origins of Adversary Criminal Trial*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003; paperback 2005; Chinese ed. 2010.
Langbein, John H. with R. Lerner and B. P. Smith. *History of the Common Law: The Development of Anglo-American Legal Institutions*. New York: Wolters Kluwer, 2009.

The Turn to Confession Bargaining in German Criminal Procedure: Causes, Systemic Implications, and Comparisons with American Plea Bargaining

Over the past generation the courts that decide cases of serious crime in Germany have undergone a profound change in the way that they conduct their work. Increasingly, they resolve cases by a practice called *Absprachen*, literally "negotiating," but better translated as "confession bargaining." In such cases, the presiding judge of the court negotiates a deal with the lawyer who represents the defendant. In return for the defendant agreeing to confess the charge against him, the judge will materially reduce the punishment that would have been imposed if the court had found the defendant guilty after a full trial on the evidence without the confession. By enabling the court to convict the defendant primarily on the basis of the confession, the deal materially shortens the trial and simplifies the work of the court.

Confession bargaining in cases of serious crime was essentially unknown in Germany until the 1970s. It developed entirely in judicial practice, without authorizing legislation. Today, confession bargaining is estimated to take place in half of all cases of serious crime.

German confession bargaining shares with American plea bargaining a fundamental evil: A confession given in return for a reduced sanction is by its very nature unreliable. As the disparity grows between the reduced sentence that the judge offers the defendant for confession, and the sentence that is threatened for conviction if the defendant refuses to confess and is thereafter convicted, the pressure to waive defenses and accept the offered deal becomes ever more intense. Bargained confessions are coerced. In the US, there is now abundant empirical evidence that such bargains induce innocent persons to confess falsely.

Confession bargaining offends core principles of German criminal procedure, above all, the court's responsibility to satisfy itself of the defendant's guilt and the appropriateness of the sentence. Nevertheless, in a decision rendered in 1997, the *Bundesgerichtshof* (the supreme court in criminal procedure matters) sustained the practice in a badly reasoned opinion. In 2009 the legislature effectively codified the 1997 decision, and in 2013 the Federal Constitutional Court gave the statute provisional approval against constitutionally-based attack.

For discussion: Why has this happened, how serious is the damage to the German tradition of truth-seeking in criminal adjudication, and what is the future of criminal trial?

Langbein, John H. (Cary, NC,2022)

The turn to confession bargaining in German criminal procedure : causes and comparisons with American plea bargaining

<https://kxp.k10plus.de/DB=9.663/PPNSET?PPN=183058636X>

Langbein, John H. (Clark, N.J.,2013)

Prosecuting crime in the Renaissance : England, Germany, France

<https://kxp.k10plus.de/DB=9.663/PPNSET?PPN=786898690>

Langbein, John H. (New York,2010)

Pension and employee benefit law

<https://kxp.k10plus.de/DB=9.663/PPNSET?PPN=630725918>

University casebook series

<https://kxp.k10plus.de/DB=9.663/PPNSET?PPN=630725918>

Langbein, John H. (Austin, Tex. [u.a.],2009)

History of the common law : the development of Anglo-American legal institutions

<https://kxp.k10plus.de/DB=9.663/PPNSET?PPN=59795528X>

Aspen Publishers

<https://kxp.k10plus.de/DB=9.663/PPNSET?PPN=59795528X>

Langbein, John H. (Chicago, Ill.,2006)

Torture and the law of proof : Europe and England in the ancien régime

<https://kxp.k10plus.de/DB=9.663/PPNSET?PPN=500667012>

Langbein, John H. (Oxford [u.a.],2003)

The origins of adversary criminal trial

<https://kxp.k10plus.de/DB=9.663/PPNSET?PPN=354979280>

Oxford studies in modern legal history

<https://kxp.k10plus.de/DB=9.663/PPNSET?PPN=354979280>